

N/A

Crescent High 403

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Below Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Excellent	N/A
2004			

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM							
		Our School			High Schools with Students Like Ours		
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003	
Passed all 3 subtests	65.7	55.8	69.0	69.4	65.8	68.1	
Passed 2 subtests	23.1	29.9	18.3	16.2	17.8	17.5	
Passed 1 subtest	9.0	5.2	4.9	8.9	10.4	9.0	
Passed no subtests	2.2	9.1	7.0	5.6	6.0	5.0	

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibility for LIFE Scholarships*		Graduation Rate	
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	118	98.3	108	5.6	123	78.9
Gender						
Male	56	98.2	52	5.8	62	71.0
Female	62	98.4	56	5.4	61	86.9
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	13	100.0	13	0.0	20	65.0
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	105	98.1	95	6.3	103	81.6
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	N/A	N/A	11	0.0	14	21.4
Students without disabilities	118	98.3	97	6.2	109	86.2
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	1	I/S	108	5.6	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	117	98.3	108	5.6	120	80.8
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	27	96.3	23	0.0	37	48.6
Full-pay meals	90	98.9	85	7.1	86	91.9
Full-pay meals n = number of students on which per	90	98.9				

Percent of Our School High Schools with Students Like Ours Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions* Seniors who met the SAT requirement 5.6 13.6 Seniors who met the grade point average 50.0 51.9

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Crescent High 403022

SCHOOL PROFILE				
	OurSchool	Change from Last Year	High Schools with Students Like Ours	Median High School
Students (n= 687)				
Retention rate	11.4%	Down from 11.9%	7.8%	7.3%
Attendance rate	94.7%	Up from 94.6%	95.2%	95.5%
Eligible for gifted and talented	10.0%	Up from 9.8%	8.5%	5.1%
With disabilities other than speech	12.7%	Down from 12.9%	11.9%	12.2%
Older than usual for grade	9.5%	No change	10.0%	10.1%
Suspended or expelled	5.2%	Up from 4.4%	3.1%	2.3%
Enrolled in AP/IB programs	10.4%	N/A	N/A	10.2%
Successful on AP/IB exams	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Annual dropout rate Career/technology students in co-curricular organizations	5.0%	Up from 2.4%	3.3%	2.7%
	24.9%	Up from 20.0%	4.3%	3.2%
Enrollment in career/technology center courses	455	Up from 435	467	433
Students participating in worked-based experiences	26.8%	Up from 24.4%	30.8%	26.3%
Career/technology students mastering core competencies	78.9%	Up from 78.7%	75.9%	74.9%
Career/technology completers placed	96.4%	Down from 98.8%	99.4%	99.5%
Teachers (n= 45)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	42.2%	No change	50.0%	51.7%
Continuing contract teachers	88.9%	Up from 77.8%	82.1%	81.8%
Highly qualified teachers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Teachers returning from previous year	86.0%	Up from 82.3%	86.0%	85.1%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	96.2%	Up from 94.1%	96.2%	95.8%
	\$41,953	Up 2.5%	\$40,392	\$40,303
Prof. development days/teacher	7.4 days	Down from 10.0 days	9.5 days	10.3 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	5.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	28.6 to 1	Down from 29.2 to 1	27.9 to 1	26.2 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	89.3%	Up from 86.6%	90.4%	90.1%
	\$6,416	Up 2.8%	\$6,285	\$6,279
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	56.9%	Up from 56.6%	57.6%	57.8%
	Good	Down from Excellent	Excellent	Excellent
Parents attending conferences	48.6%	Down from 48.9%	82.8%	87.8%
SACS accreditation	yes	N/A	yes	yes
* Drive year sudited financial data are remoded	, , , ,		,	,,,,,

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

Abbreviations for Missing Data

N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

Crescent High 403022

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

The faculty and staff of Crescent High School are dedicated to helping students excel in academics, athletics, and other extra-curricular activites. curriculum, technology, and construction/renovations to our facilities are providing students with an outstanding learning environment. During the past year our students have worked hard to excel in academics, social skills, and sports. Four of our seniors were nominated for the Anderson Independent Newspaper award and one of these nominees won in her division. Twenty-seven of our seniors were named as recipients of a LIFE Scholarship. We had six students take AP English "on-line." Our Special Services Department received an IDEA Grant in the amount of \$50,000. Our FFA Chapter received the Gold Chapter Award and our FFA Soil Judging team won the state championship. In addition, one of our FFA members was named SC Beef Ambassador and competed in the national contest in Orlando, Our Chorale won their second consecutive SC 2A Festival state championship and was also named "Best in Show" at a competition in Tennessee. Our softball team won their twenty-second consecutive region championship and finished as state 2A runner up. Our wrestling team brought home two gold, two silver, and two bronze medals from the state tournament. Our boys' basketball and baseball teams made the state playoffs.

Teachers continue to work hard to improve their teaching skills. Three of our teachers received National Board Certification in 2002-2003 and we have three who anticipate completing this program in 2003-2004. In addition, many of our staff members continue to pursue advanced degrees.

Devon Smith

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS						
	Teachers	Students	Parents			
Number of surveys returned	51	118	112			
Percent satisfied with learning environment	98.0%	65.0%	77.3%			
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	91.5%	82.1%	61.3%			
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	60.0%	85.6%	79.3%			

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.